



# UNITED STATES PATELY AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,496	11/09/2001	Fong Piau	FLEX1814	3412	
75	590 11/19/2003	EXAMINER			
PENINSULA IP GROUP 2290 North First Street, Suite 101			INOA, MIDYS		
San Jose, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b></b>			2188	7	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1924			
• >		Application	on No	Applicant(s)				
• ,	Office Action Comments	10/053,49	96	PIAU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
·		Midys Ino		2188				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sneet with the d	orrespondence add	aress			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE WAY OF THE WA	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even in. a reply within the statueriod will apply and wistatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 August 2003						
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)								
•	ion Papers	nd/or cicolion is	squirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Exar							
,			ccepted or b) object	ed to by the Exam	iner.			
,	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Cool Number: 10/053,496

Art Unit: 2188

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (US 2003/0009607 A1) in view of Katayama et al. (US 2001/0007119 A1).

Regarding Claim 1, 9, and 17 Chen teaches a flash system that is controlled by a flash controller 204 in which the controller control and initiates the functionality of the system components, processes a series of commands such as write or read, and executes transfers of data from and to the flash ROM (Page 3, paragraphs 0028-0030). Chen does not teach a flash memory comprising of a number of flash memory arrays, the partitioning of the flash memory arrays, or the transfer of data to a memory array pair. Katayama et al. teaches a file memory device comprising a flash memory 5 with a number of memory arrays (Figure 2). The memory arrays of Katayama are organized in a parallel arrangement of memory element groups ("partitioning flash memory arrays"). In addition, the data being transferred is distributed through out the arrays through the use of a data distribution unit. In the case where the flash memory were to be divided into only two memory arrays, the data distribution unit would serve the purpose of transferring data to a memory array pair (Abstract, Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate

Application/Co ol Number: 10/053,496

Art Unit: 2188

the flash memory partitioning and data transferring method of Katayama et al. with the system of Chen in order to allow the system to sort data by type within the flash memory through the creation of partitions in addition to giving the system the capability of interleaving data throughout the memory arrays, thus making the transfer of data faster.

Regarding Claims 2-3, 10-11, and 18-19, Chen teaches a system in which there is a choice as to what interface to use for the movement of data. When the system is in a flash ROM programming mode, an IDE interface is used. When the system is dealing with task files, an ATA interface is in effect ("an ATA or IDE interface is selected", Page 2, paragraph 0019).

Regarding Claims 4,12 and 20, Chen teaches a system in which the host provides the flash controller with a write command (specified command sequence) which is interpreted by the controller (data transfer operative elements) and allows it to perform the necessary steps to write data from the controller into the flash ROM, thus completing the data transfer operation (Page 3, paragraph 0030).

Regarding Claims 5, 13 and 21, Chen teaches a system in which the host provides the flash controller with a read command (specified command sequence) which is interpreted by the controller (data transfer operative elements) and allows it to perform the necessary steps to read data from the flash ROM and store it in a RAM that is accessible to the host, thus completing the data transfer operation (Page 3, paragraph 0031).

Regarding Claims 6, 14, and 22, Chen teaches a system in which a "LENGTH" register specifies the number of bytes that need to be transferred, thus allowing the flash controller to continue the transfer operation until the system receives or transfers the

Art Unit: 2188

specified number of bytes. This is how the controller knows that the operation has been completed (Page 3, paragraph 003, lines 15-16 and paragraph 0031, lines 8-9).

Regarding Claims 7-8, 15-16, and 23-24, Chen's flash controller does not perform any write, read, or transfer operation until a command is received from the host.

Therefore, essentially, the flash controller stops operation and waits for a request from the host before is resumes normal operation.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The reference of Katayama et al. teaches the elements not taught by Chen. Katayama et al. teaches a file memory device comprising a flash memory divided into memory arrays (Figure 2). The memory arrays of the flash memory are organized in a parallel arrangement of memory element groups, which in turn constitute a form of memory array partitioning. Furthermore, Katayama et al. teaches transferring of data with the use of a data distribution unit, which distributes the data through out the flash memory arrays. Since it is possible for the flash memory of Katayama et al. to be divided into only two memory arrays, the data distribution unit of Katayama et al. serves the purpose of transferring data to a memory array pair (Abstract, Figure 2).

### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Co bl Number: 10/053,496

Art Unit: 2188

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Midys Inoa whose telephone number is (703) 305-7850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MI

Midys Ihoa
Examiner
Art Unit 2188

Laws Cambon 11/10/03

MANO PADMANASHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2100